

November '20



# Summary

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT	3
METHODOLOGY	4 - 8
VAULT GLOBAL OVERVIEW	9
RECENTLY ADDED ASSETS	10
PORTFOLIO DISTRIBUTION	11
PORTFOLIO VALUE THROUGH TIME	12
PORTFOLIO VALUATION DETAILS	13



### Purpose of the report

This report published by NonFungible.com aims to give a clear and exhaustive inventory of the NFT VAULT backing \$WHALE, inform about the overall value of the VAULT, it's distribution between projects and, if necessary, bring to light any risk of asset monopolies.

It has been conducted autonomously and transparently by the NonFungible.com team and will be published on a regular basis to report on the evolution of the VAULT content and value over time.



This edition of the \$Whale VAULT valuation report has been built with the kind help of the <u>Museum of Contemporary Digital Art</u> (MoCDA). This collaboration between NonFungible.com and MoCDA has mixed insights from the traditional art market and from the NFT markets to value digital artwork.

This audit is based on the following Ethereum wallet: <a href="https://oxe7079eec020ddfc3f1c0abe1d946c55e6ed30eb3">oxe7079eec020ddfc3f1c0abe1d946c55e6ed30eb3</a>

The previous edition of the report (October 30th) can be found here: <a href="http://nonfungible.com/static/october-2020-whale-vault-audit.pdf">http://nonfungible.com/static/october-2020-whale-vault-audit.pdf</a>

NonFungible.com received 100,000 \$WHALE tokens (1% of the total supply), as a payment for these audits. Another 100,000 \$WHALE tokens will be vested to NonFungible.com over the course of 2 years.



In the interest of complete transparency towards \$WHALE buyers and users, we have chosen to publicly share the methodologies that led to the evaluation of the VAULT. As the VAULT presents a wide variety of assets, it was necessary to identify for each type of asset, the criteria that define its value and its scarcity compared to the others.

Note that both ETH and USD prices (at the time of the sales & current values) have been taken in account to estimate the assets.

The following slides present the major lines of our calculation methodology for the main types of assets in the VAULT.

It is important to keep in mind that these methodologies are meant to evolve over time, depending on the markets, the evolution of projects, and of course the assets that will constitute the VAULT tomorrow.

The NonFungible.com team remains available to answer any questions regarding the dataset used or the calculation methods.





#### THE SANDBOX

During our analyzes we identified differences in pricing between the areas of the first and second presale (top and bottom right areas).

In order to assess the 1,526 plots of The Sandbox in the VAULT, we applied the average price over the past few months on the secondary market only, respectively \$59 for the lower zone and \$79 for the upper zone.

The Sandbox parcels assessment has been partly based on the heatmap presented on the right.



#### **GODS UNCHAINED**

The average price of each card based on **card name and quality** on the secondary market in the previous months has determined the value of each Gods Unchained Card.

For certain very rare assets or those with no secondary market (i.e. Mythic Cards) the purchase price has been kept as a reference value.

It was not possible to take into account the purchase price of all the cards in the VAULT, since most of them were purchased via packs. Although the price of the pack is known, dividing the price of the pack by the number of cards it contains would not give a relevant value.





#### **CRYPTOVOXELS**

The average value of parcels per Suburb has been selected as the main criteria defining the value of CryptoVoxels assets.

This value has been weighted based on value evolution over time.





#### **SUPERRARE**

The main criteria defining the value of a work of art remains the artist who created it. The price of assets from SuperRare was therefore estimated based on the average price of each artist's works.

As the secondary market volumes are too low, no weighting has been applied between the primary and secondary markets.



#### **KNOWNORIGIN**

The average price per artist over the past few months defined the value of each artwork from KnownOrigin present in the VAULT.

As the secondary market volumes are too low, no weighting has been applied between the primary and secondary markets.



#### **MAKERSPLACE**

The average price per artist defined the value of each piece of art from MakersPlace.

As the secondary market volumes are still too small, no weighting has been applied between the primary and secondary markets.



#### ETHEREUM NAME SERVICE

Each ENS is unique by definition. The most relevant criteria that appeared to define the value of ENS domain names is the number of characters that make up the domain name, and if the names makes sense.

This value by name size has been weighted according to the price on the secondary market and the evolution of the price over time.

Finally, for "exceptional" domain names, the purchase price has been kept as a reference value, due to the lack of a secondary market.





#### **CRYPTOMOTORS**

The value of a CryptoMotors vehicle is defined by the type of vehicle (Abyssus, Sedan, etc.) as well as by the limited series of which it is part.

The average price of each limited series vehicle over the past 2 months has been used to assess the VAULT's CryptoMotors assets.



#### **JOY WORLD**

Since almost all of JOY World's assets are unique, it is more difficult to compare asset ratings with each other via relevant categories.

It was decided to separately track the market value for each asset in the JOY World collection, based on the previous secondary market sales.



#### **TERRA VIRTUA**

The name of the movie poster emerged as the criteria defining the value of each asset, and offering greater analytical finesse than the Rarity of the asset.

The average value of each asset over the past months (primary and secondary markets) has been used to assess Terra Virtua's assets.



#### **AVASTARS**

The Serial number (# 0 to # 5172) and the Level (Common to Legendary) were retained as key criteria defining the value of avatars. It is by crossing these two criteria and correlating them with their evolution over time that the value of Avastars assets has been defined.

As the secondary market volumes for the legendary avatars are still too low, no weighting has been applied between the primary market and the secondary market. Finally, extremely rare assets, such as Legendary Avatars # 0 and # 1 have no comparison to date. The purchase price has for the moment been kept as a reference value for these assets.











#### OTHER ARTISTS OR MARKETPLACES

Following the feedback on the first assessment, we completely overhauled the valuation model for artworks and independent artists, with the help of MoCDA's art market experts.

Here are some of the rules that have made it possible to adjust the valuation of works:

- Reduce the time period taken into account (the rating of an artist in the NFT ecosystem can change quickly, it was not relevant to take into account sales older than 6 months)
- ✓ Sales of unique / incomparable purchases with other assets define the reference price of these works.
- ✓ The overall reputation of the artist and / or the work (social media, web, galleries, etc.) contributing to the value of the asset
- ✓ Bid wars when buying help define how the market perceives the value of the asset.
- ✓ The bids that have been made since the acquisition of the work should be considered with caution but can provide information on the perceived price of the work on the market.



#### OTHER ASSETS

For the other projects, the average value of project assets over the last few months has defined the value of the other VAULT assets.

Note that some projects had too small overall market volumes (Primary and Secondary) to conduct an analysis with greater granularity.





### VAULT Global Overview

**VOLUME** 



3511 (+0%\*)
ASSETS IN PORTFOLIO



59
TYPOLOGIES OF TOKENS\*\*

**CURRENT VALUE** 



=3849




\$2 373 133 (+23.8%\*)
TOTAL USD VALUE




\*Evolution since previous report (October 2020)



# Recently Added Assets









**VALUE** 


### Portfolio distribution





**VOLUME** 

# Portfolio value through time



### Portfolio valuation details

	Project	Assets	Value
	SuperRare	237	\$245 238 +11.8%
SuperRare	Gods Unchained	509	\$834 950 +12.6%
	CryptoVoxels	182	\$226 784 +7.8%
5	The Sandbox	1 697	\$401 108 <sub>+47.4%</sub>
	Avastars	37	\$111 815 <sub>+29.8%</sub>
<b>m</b> p	MakersPlace	293	\$196 261 <sub>+7.6%</sub>
PBOY	Pascal Boyart	8	\$71 126 <sup>7</sup>
No.	KnownOrigin	222	\$48 096 <sub>-3.8%</sub>

	Project	Assets	Value	
	JOYWorld	30	\$186 545	+11.5%
	Alotta Money	13	\$1 966	N/A
M	CryptoMotors	28	\$11 499	+16.1%
	Ethereum Name Service	10	\$3 454	+52.2%
B (8)	Josie	3	\$9 394	+58.6%
	Didier Ra	18	\$7 565	+37%
R	Rarible	13	\$1 557	N/A
	Terra Virtua	41	\$900	<b>7</b> +6.93%

	Project	Assets	Value	
BAE	Blockchain Art Exchange	3	\$2 986	<b>7</b> +89.5%
	Miscellaneous	166	\$11 891	+20.2%

#### How to read these results?

The positive or negative trends **DOES NOT NECESSARILY** imply an increase in the value of these assets. The trend can be explained by 3 reasons:

- 1 | addition or removal of some assets in the VAULT
- 2 | market value evolution
- 3 | methodology fine-tuning after community or experts' feedback

N/A means that no relevant trend has been identified or that the value hasn't changed since previous audit.

These data should in no way be considered as investment advice.



